

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	EIDCT MANCE BUILDINGS		
00/000 616		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,515	07/31/2001	Paolo De Gronchi	163-338	3501
7590 10/02/2003 James V Costigan Hedman Gibson & Costigan			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
1185 Avenue of New York, NY	f the Americas		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
New Tork, NT	10030-2001		1754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	ı.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/890,515	GRONCHI ET AL.	
Since Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAU INC DATE COL	Edward M. Johnson	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror	imely filed lys will be considered timely.	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	<u>luly 2001</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the mer 453 O.G. 213.	its is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and the second second		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or el Application Papers	lection requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ed or b) Cobjected to but the C		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in about a second	niner	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed oni	is: a) approved b) disapproved	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	/ to this Office action	ved by the Examiner.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 H.S.C. S.440(-)	(1)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	monty under 55 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	Nave heen received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received in Application		
application from the International Business	documents have been received	I in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional applicat	tion).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provis 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p Attachment(s) 	sional application has been recei	ved.	
Attachment(s)	monty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	nd/or 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (F 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action			

Application/Control Number: 09/890,515

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17 and 25, drawn to a process for making amorphous silica.

Group II, claim(s) 18-24, drawn to the use of the silica.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 is anticipated by or obvious over Tanaka et al. US 6,159,277 (see abstract, Examples); Harper et al. US 5,595,717 (see abstract, Examples); Anton et al. US 4,720,475 (see abstract, Examples); and/or Achenbach et al. US 4,190,632. Consequently, the special technical feature which links Groups I and II does not provide a contribution over the prior art, so unity of invention is lacking.

2. If Applicant elects group II, the following species restriction is also required:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to

Application/Control Number: 09/890,515

Art Unit: 1754

lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The uses listed in claims 19-21 and 23.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 does not provide a contribution over the prior art (see above).

3. A telephone call was made to James Costigan on 9/7/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in

Art Unit: 1754

compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-305-0216. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

EMJ

STÉVEN BOS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100